

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/064,269		06/27/2002	Ruthie D. Lyle	RPS920020082US1 2687		
25259	7590	03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION				MEEK, JACOB M		
3039 CORN' DEPT. T81 /		RD. O BOX 12195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		2637		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	
**	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V
10/064,269	LYLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jacob Meek	2637	

	Jacob Meek	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication anne			lross				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
HE REPLY FILED 01 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  ∴ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for repty expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	to the state of the state of filling a balance						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,00000					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wiovided below or appended.	il be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)					
13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments regarding use of null packets are not persuasive. While arguments are detailed, the crux of the matter is this: it is intended that channels experiencing inteference be avoided. Soussi provides a method of avoiding interference by assessing conditions and transmitting data only on "clean" channels. Applicant avoids interference by transmitting data on "clean" channels and null packets on channels experiencing inteference. As previously noted, the transmission of null packets is not considered a positive limitation in that null packets do not initiate any further action versus not transmitting in the channel at all and therefore is considered equivalent in terms of operational effect.

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER